



POLICIES AND PROCEDURES GOVERNING

Procurement of Goods and Services

Document Control

Revision #	Change Reason	Reviewer	QA Checked By	Status	Date Completed
	CCRMA Approved Policies			Final	2007
1.0	Added Language regarding updated 23 CFR 172	Adrian Rincones		Final	December 2016
	TxDOT Approved Policies	Norma Garza		Final	December 22, 2016
2.0	Added section 16 to provide additional clarification to 23 CFR 172	Adrian Rincones		Final	October 17, 2017
3.0	Added section 7.5(f) to reflect Board action effective as of May 10, 2018	David Irwin		Final	May 17, 2018
4.0	Added section 17, Implementation of the State of Texas Procurement and Contract Management Guide	David Irwin		Final	August 26, 2021
5.0	Added section 5.20, Construction Manager-at-Risk Method	David Irwin		Final	August 26, 2021

POLICIES AND PROCEDURES GOVERNING

PROCUREMENTS OF GOODS AND SERVICES

BY THE

CAMERON COUNTY REGIONAL MOBILITY AUTHORITY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION	PAGE
SECTION 1. STATEMENT OF GENERAL POLICY.....	1
SECTION 2. CONFLICT OF INTEREST.....	1
SECTION 3. DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS PARTICIPATION; COMPLIANCE WITH POLICY.....	2
SECTION 4. DEFINITIONS.....	2
SECTION 5. CONSTRUCTION AND BUILDING CONTRACTS.....	7
SECTION 6. PROFESSIONAL SERVICES.....	15
SECTION 7. GENERAL GOODS AND SERVICES.....	17
SECTION 8. CONSULTING SERVICES.....	21
SECTION 9. COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENTS.....	23
SECTION 10. DESIGN-BUILD AND DESIGN-BUILD FINANCE AGREEMENTS.	30
SECTION 11. PARTICIPATION IN STATE AND COOPERATIVE PURCHASING PROGRAMS; AND INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENTS.....	35
SECTION 12. EMERGENCY PROCUREMENTS.....	36
SECTION 13. DISPOSITION OF SALVAGE OR SURPLUS PERSONAL PROPERTY.....	37
SECTION 14. SOLICITATION OF EMPLOYEE APPLICANTS.....	37
SECTION 15. DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROCEDURES.....	39
SECTION 16. IMPLEMENTATION OF 23 CFR 172 COMPLIANCE.....	39
SECTION 17. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE STATE OF TEXAS PROCUREMENT AND CONTRACT MANAGEMENT GUIDE.....	43

**POLICIES AND PROCEDURES GOVERNING PROCUREMENTS OF
GOODS AND SERVICES BY THE
CAMERON COUNTY REGIONAL MOBILITY AUTHORITY**

SECTION 1. STATEMENT OF GENERAL POLICY.

It is the policy of the Cameron County Regional Mobility Authority (the “Authority”) that all Authority procurements shall be based solely on economic and business merit in order to best promote the interests of the citizens of the region served by the Authority.

SECTION 2. CONFLICT OF INTEREST.

2.1 Independence and Influence. A member of the Board of Directors or an employee or agent of the Authority shall not:

- (a) contract with the Authority or, without disclosure and recusal, in accordance with sections 2.2 and 2.4, be directly or indirectly interested in a contract with the Authority or the sale of property to the Authority (aside from, in the case of an employee or agent, a contract establishing the employment or agency relationship);
- (b) accept or solicit any gift, favor, or service that might reasonably tend to influence that Board member, employee or agent in the making of procurement decisions or that the Board member, employee or agent knows or should have known is being offered with the intent to influence the Board member’s, employee’s or agent’s making of procurement decisions; or
- (c) accept other compensation that could reasonably be expected to impair the Board member’s, employee’s or agent’s independence of judgment in the making of procurement decisions.

2.2. Business and Real Estate Interests. If a member of the Board of Directors of the Authority owns either ten percent or more or \$15,000 or more of the fair market value of a business entity that is seeking to contract with the Authority, or funds received from the business entity exceed ten percent of the Board member’s gross income for the previous year, the Board member shall file an affidavit stating the nature and extent of his or her interest and shall abstain from further participation in any procurement decisions affecting the business entity. If a Board member has an equitable or legal ownership interest with a fair market value of \$2,500 or more in real property that the Authority is considering purchasing or leasing, the Board member shall file an affidavit stating the nature and extent of his or her interest and shall abstain from participation in any decisions related to the purchase or lease of the real property by the Authority.

2.3 Familial Relationships. A Board member, employee, or agent of the Authority may not exercise control over any decisions that could result in the hiring of or a contract with an individual who is related to the Board member, employee, or agent within the second degree of consanguinity or affinity. Regardless of the whether the Board member participates in the decision, the Authority may not hire or contract with an individual who is related to a Board member within the second

degree of consanguinity or affinity. Degree of consanguinity or affinity shall be determined according to the criteria set forth in Chapter 573, Government Code.

2.4 Conflicts Disclosure. A bidder and a member of the Authority's Board of Directors shall be required to file a conflicts disclosure statement with the RMA, in the form adopted by the Texas Ethics Commission, disclosing:

- (a) any employment or other business relationship between the bidder and the Board member, or the spouse, parent, or child of the Board member, that resulted in the Board member or his or her spouse, parent, or child receiving taxable income (other than investment income) that exceeds \$2,500 for the twelve-month period preceding the date on which the bidder sought to contract with the Authority; and
- (b) any gifts with an aggregate value of \$250 or more given to a board member or his or her spouse, parent, or child during the twelve-month period preceding the date on which the bidder sought to contract with the Authority.

SECTION 3. DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS PARTICIPATION; COMPLIANCE WITH POLICY.

Disadvantaged Business Enterprises will be encouraged to participate in the procurement process. If the Authority adopts a policy regarding Disadvantaged Business Enterprises, all procurements shall comply with such policy.

SECTION 4. DEFINITIONS.

As used in this policy, the following words and terms shall have the following meanings, unless the context clearly indicates otherwise.

Available bidding capacity: Bidding capacity less uncompleted work under a construction or building contract.

Authority: The Cameron County Regional Mobility Authority.

Bid or quote: The response to a request for the pricing of products, goods, or services (other than professional services or certain consulting services) that the Authority proposes to procure.

Bid documents: Forms promulgated by the Authority that the bidder completes and submits to the Authority to document the bidder's bid on a contract to be let by the Authority. Bid documents promulgated by the Authority for a procurement will include the following information: (i) the location and description of the proposed work; (ii) an estimate of the various quantities and kinds of work to be performed and/or materials to be furnished; (iii) a schedule of items for which unit prices are requested; (iv) the time within which the work is to be completed; (v) any special provisions and special specifications; (vi) the amount of bid guaranty, if any, required; and (vii) and the Authority's policy regarding the participation in the contract or in subcontracts let under the contract by Disadvantaged Business Enterprises, in accordance with the Authority's policies regarding such participation.

Bid guaranty: The security designated in the bid documents for a construction or building contract to be furnished by the bidder as a guaranty that the bidder will enter into a contract if awarded the work.

Bidder: An individual, partnership, limited liability company, corporation or any combination submitting a bid or offer of goods or services.

Bidding capacity: The maximum dollar value a contractor may have under a construction or building contract at any given time, as determined by the Authority.

Board: The Board of Directors of the Authority.

Building contract: A contract for the construction or maintenance of an Authority building, toll plaza, or appurtenant facilities.

Comprehensive Development Agreement (“CDA”): An agreement with a private entity that at a minimum provides for the design and construction of a transportation project, that may also provide for the financing, acquisition, maintenance or operation of a transportation project, and that entitles the private entity to a leasehold interest in the transportation project or the right to operate or retain revenue from the operation of the transportation project.

Construction contract: A contract for the construction, reconstruction, maintenance, or repair of a segment of a transportation project, including a contract let to preserve and prevent further deterioration of a transportation project.

Consulting service: The service of advising or preparing studies or analyses for the Authority under a contract that does not involve the traditional relationship of employer and employee. Except in connection with CDAs, consulting services may not be procured under a construction or building contract. Consulting services are not professional services or general goods and services as defined in this policy.

Design-build or design-build-finance agreement: An agreement with a private entity that provides for the design, construction, financing, expansion, extension, related capital maintenance, rehabilitation, alteration, or repair of a transportation project but does not grant the private entity a leasehold interest in the transportation project or the right to operate or retain revenue from the operation of the transportation project.

Design-build contractor: A partnership, corporation, or other legal entity or team that includes an engineering firm and a construction contractor qualified to engage in the construction of transportation projects in the State of Texas and that is selected by the Authority in accordance with section 10 of this Policy.

Emergency: Any situation or condition affecting a transportation project resulting from a natural or man-made cause that poses an imminent threat to life or property of the traveling public or which substantially disrupts or may disrupt the safe and efficient flow of traffic and commerce or which has caused unforeseen damage to machinery, equipment or other property which would substantially interfere with or prohibit the collection of tolls in accordance with the Authority’s bonding obligations and requirements.

Executive Director: The Executive Director of the Authority or any individual designated by the Board to act as the chief administrative officer of the Authority.

Federal-aid project: The construction, reconstruction, maintenance, or repair of a segment of a transportation project, including a contract let to preserve and prevent further deterioration of a transportation project, funded in whole or in part with funds provided by the government of the United States or any department thereof.

General goods and services: Goods, services, equipment, personal property and any other item procured by the Authority in connection with the fulfillment of its statutory purposes that are not procured under a construction or building contract or that are not consulting services or professional services as defined by this policy.

Highway: A road, highway, farm-to-market road, or street under the supervision of the State or political subdivision of the State.

Intermodal hub: A central location where cargo containers can be easily and quickly transferred between trucks, trains and airplanes.

Lowest best bidder: The lowest responsible bidder on a contract that complies with the Authority's criteria for such contract, as described in section 5 below.

Materially unbalanced bid: A bid, as may be more particularly defined in the bid documents, on a construction or building contract that generates a reasonable doubt that award to the bidder submitting a mathematically unbalanced bid will result in the lowest ultimate cost to the Authority.

Mathematically unbalanced bid: A bid, as may be more particularly defined in the bid documents, on a construction or building contract containing lump sum or unit bid items that do not reflect reasonable actual costs plus a reasonable proportionate share of the bidder's anticipated profit, overhead costs, and other indirect costs.

Nonresident bidder: A person who is not a resident of Texas.

Official newspaper of the Authority: The Brownsville Herald, the Harlingen Valley Morning Star, or other general circulation newspaper published in Cameron County as may be designated by the Board of Directors.

Professional services: Services that political subdivisions of the State must procure pursuant to the Professional Services Procurement Act, which are services defined by state law as accounting, architecture, landscape architecture, land surveying, medicine, optometry, professional engineering, real estate appraising, or professional nursing, or services provided in connection with the employment or practice of a person who is licensed or registered as a certified public accountant, an architect, a landscape architect, a land surveyor, a physician (including a surgeon), an optometrist, a professional engineer, a state certified or state licensed real estate appraiser, or a registered nurse. Except in connection with a CDA, or as otherwise allowed by applicable law, professional services may not be procured under a construction or building contract.

Professional Services Procurement Act: Subchapter A of Chapter 2254 of the Texas Government Code, as amended from time to time.

Public utility facility:

- (a) a water, wastewater, natural gas, or petroleum pipeline or associated equipment;
- (b) an electric transmission or distribution line or associated equipment; or
- (c) telecommunications information services, or cable television infrastructure or associated equipment, including fiber optic cable, conduit and wireless communications facilities.

Salvage property: Personal property (including, without limitation, supplies, equipment, and vehicles), other than items routinely discarded as waste, that through use, time, or accident is so damaged, used, consumed, or outmoded that it has little or no value to the Authority.

Surplus personal property: Personal property (including, without limitation, supplies, equipment, and vehicles) that is not currently needed by the Authority and is not required for the Authority's foreseeable needs. The term includes used or new property that retains some usefulness for the purpose for which it was intended or for another purpose.

State: The State of Texas.

System: A transportation project or a combination of transportation projects designated as a system by the Board in accordance with Texas Transportation Code § 370.034.

Transportation project:

- (a) a turnpike project;
- (b) a system as defined in Transportation Code §370.003(13);
- (c) a passenger or freight rail facility, including (i) tracks; (ii) a rail line; (iii) switching, signaling, or other operating equipment; (iv) a depot; (v) a locomotive; (vi) rolling stock; (vii) a maintenance facility; and (viii) other real and personal property associated with a rail operation.
- (d) a roadway with a functional classification greater than a local road or rural minor collector;
- (e) a bridge;
- (f) a ferry;
- (g) an airport other than an airport that on September 1, 2005, was served by one or more air carriers engaged in scheduled interstate transportation, as those terms were defined by 14 C.F.R. section 1.1 on that date;
- (h) a pedestrian or bicycle facility;

- (i) an intermodal hub;
- (j) an automated conveyor belt for the movement of freight;
- (k) a border crossing inspection station, including (i) a border crossing inspection station located at or near an international border crossing; and (ii) a border crossing inspection station located at or near a border crossing from another state of the United States and not more than 50 miles from an international border;
- (l) an air quality improvement initiative;
- (m) a public utility facility;
- (n) a transit system;
- (o) a parking area, structure, or facility or a collection device for parking fees;
- (p) projects and programs listed in the most recently approved state implementation plan for the area covered by the Authority, including an early action compact;
- (q) improvements in a transportation reinvestment zone designated under Subchapter E, Chapter 222 of the Transportation Code; and
- (r) port security, transportation, or facility projects eligible for funding under Section 55.002 of the Transportation Code.

Turnpike project: A highway of any number of lanes, with or without grade separations, owned or operated by the Authority and any improvement, extension or expansion to the highway, including:

- (a) an improvement to relieve traffic congestion or promote safety;
- (b) a bridge, tunnel, overpass, underpass, interchange, entrance plaza, approach, toll house, service road, or ramp;
- (c) an administration, storage, or other building the Board considers necessary to operate the project;
- (d) property rights, easements and interests the Board acquires to construct or operate the project;
- (e) a parking area or structure, rest stop, park, and any other improvement or amenity the Board considers necessary, useful, or beneficial for the operation of a turnpike project; and
- (f) a toll-free facility that is appurtenant to and necessary for the efficient operation of a turnpike project, including a service road, access road, ramp, interchange, bridge, or tunnel.

TxDOT: The Texas Department of Transportation.

SECTION 5.

CONSTRUCTION AND BUILDING CONTRACTS.

5.1 Competitive Bidding. A contract requiring the expenditure of public funds for the construction or maintenance of the Authority's transportation projects may be let by competitive bidding in which the contract is awarded to the lowest responsible and responsive bidder that complies with the Authority's criteria for such contract, and such bidder shall constitute the lowest best bidder in accordance with this section 5. Bidding for procurements made by competitive bidding will be open and unrestricted, subject to the procedures set forth in this policy or, in the alternative, subject to the procedures set forth in "Local Area Management Procedures" adopted by the Authority Board of Directors in separate action. Any notice of contract letting shall specify which procedures apply to the procurement.

5.2 Qualification of Bidders. A potential bidder must be qualified to bid on construction contracts of the Authority. Unless the Authority elects, in its sole discretion, to separately qualify bidders on a construction project, only bidders qualified by TxDOT to bid on construction or maintenance contracts of TxDOT will be deemed qualified by the Authority to bid on the Authority's construction contracts. At its election, the Authority may waive this subsection 5.2 with respect to bidders on building contracts.

5.3 Qualifying with the Authority.

- (a) If, in its sole discretion, the Authority elects to separately qualify bidders on a construction project, the Authority will require each potential bidder not already qualified by TxDOT to submit to the Authority an application for qualification containing:
 - (1) a confidential questionnaire in a form prescribed by the Authority, which may include certain information concerning the bidder's equipment, experience, and references, as well as financial condition;
 - (2) the bidder's current audited financial statement in a form and substance acceptable to the Authority; and
 - (3) a reasonable fee to be specified by the Authority to cover the cost of evaluating the bidder's application.
- (b) An audited financial statement as referenced in subsection (a)(2) requires examination of the accounting system, records, and financial statements of the bidder by an independent certified public accountant in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. In order for the audited financial statement to be considered acceptable, the auditor must express an opinion concerning the fairness of the financial statement and conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.
- (c) Upon the recommendation of the Executive Director and with the concurrence of the Board of Directors, the Authority may waive the requirement that a bidder's financial statement be audited if the estimated amount of the contract is one million dollars (\$1,000,000.00) or less. A bidder with no prior experience in construction

or maintenance shall not receive a bidding capacity of more than one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000.00).

- (d) The Authority will advise the bidder of its qualification and approved bidding capacity or of its failure to qualify. A bidder qualified by the Authority will remain qualified at its approved bidding capacity for twelve (12) months from the date of notice of approval; provided, however, that the Authority may require updated audited information at any time if circumstances develop which might alter the bidder's financial condition, ownership structure, affiliation status, or ability to operate as an ongoing concern, and the Authority may revoke or modify the bidder's qualification and approved bidding capacity based on such updated information. All such decisions concerning bidder qualifications shall be at the Authority's sole discretion.

5.4 Notice of Contract Letting.

- (a) *Each notice of contract letting must provide:
 - (1) the date, time, and place where contracts will be let and bids opened;
 - (2) the address and telephone number from which prospective bidders may request bid documents; and
 - (3) a general description of the type of construction, services or goods being sought by the Authority.

*Additional information may be provided at the discretion of the Authority.

- (b) The Authority shall post a notice of contract letting on its website for at least two (2) weeks before the date set for letting of a contract.
- (c) Notice of contract letting shall also be published in an officially designated newspaper of the Authority at least once, with the first such notice published no less than two (2) weeks before the date set for letting of the contract. In the event the contract is to be paid or reimbursed with federal-aid highway program funding, the Authority shall comply with requirements and procedures set forth in Title 23 C.F.R.
- (d) The Authority may also publish notice of contract lettings in the *Texas Register*, trade publications, or such other places that the Authority determines will enhance competition for the work.
- (e) The date specified in the notice may be extended if the Executive Director, in his or her sole discretion, determines that the extension is in the best interest of the Authority. All bids, including those received before an extension is made, must be opened at the same time.

5.5 Bid Documents. The Authority will prepare a set of bid documents for each construction or building contract to be let through the procedures of this section 5.

5.6 Issuance of Bid Documents.

Except as otherwise provided in this policy, the Authority will issue bid documents for a construction contract or building contract upon request and only after proper notice has been given regarding the contract letting. A request for bid documents for a federal-aid project must be submitted in writing and must include a statement in a form prescribed by the Authority certifying whether the bidder is currently disqualified by an agency of the federal government as a participant in programs and activities involving federal financial and non-financial assistance and benefits. A request for bid documents for any other construction or building contract may be made orally or in writing. Unless otherwise prohibited under this policy, the Authority will, upon receipt of a request, issue bid documents for a construction contract as follows:

- (a) to a bidder qualified by TxDOT, if the estimated cost of the project is within that bidder's available bidding capacity as determined by TxDOT;
- (b) to a bidder qualified by the Authority, if the estimated cost of the project is within that bidder's available bidding capacity as determined by the Authority; and
- (c) to a bidder who has substantially complied with the Authority's requirements for qualification, as determined by the Authority.

5.7 Withholding Bid Documents. The Authority will not issue bid documents for a construction contract if:

- (a) the bidder is suspended or debarred from contracting with TxDOT or the Authority;
- (b) the bidder is prohibited from rebidding a specific project because of default of the first awarded bid;
- (c) the bidder has not fulfilled the requirements for qualification under this policy, unless the bidder has substantially complied with the requirements for qualification, as determined by the Authority;
- (d) the bidder is disqualified by an agency of the federal government as a participant in programs and activities involving federal assistance and benefits, and the contract is for a federal-aid project; or
- (e) the bidder or its subsidiary or affiliate has received compensation from the Authority to participate in the preparation of the plans or specifications on which the bid or contract is based.

5.8 Completion and Submission of Bid Documents.

- (a) At the option of the Authority, a pre-bid conference may be held before opening bids to allow potential bidders to seek clarification regarding the procurement

and/or the bid documents. Alternatively, bidders may submit written requests for clarification.

- (b) Bidders shall complete all information requested in bid documents by typing, printing by computer printer, or printing in ink. The bidder shall submit a unit price, expressed in numerals, for each item for which a bid is requested (including zero dollars and zero cents, if appropriate), except in the case of a regular item that has an alternate bid item. In such case, prices must be submitted for the base bid or with the set of items of one or more of the alternates. Unit prices shown on acceptable computer printouts will be the official unit prices used to tabulate the official total bid amount and used in the contract if awarded.
- (c) Each set of bid documents shall be executed in ink in the complete and correct name of the bidder making the bid and shall be signed by the person or persons authorized to bind the bidder.
- (d) If required by the bid documents, the bidder must submit a bid guaranty with the bid. The bid guaranty shall be in the amount specified in the bid documents, shall be payable to the Authority, and shall be in the form of a cashier's check, money order, or teller's check issued by a state or national bank, savings and loan association, or a state or federally chartered credit union (collectively referred to as "bank"). The Authority will not accept cash, credit cards, personal checks or certified checks, or other types of money orders. Bid bonds may be accepted at the sole discretion of the Authority. Failure to submit the required bid guaranty in the form set forth in this subsection shall disqualify a bidder from bidding on the project described in the bid documents.
- (e) A bid on a federal-aid project shall include, in a form prescribed by the Authority, a certification of eligibility status. The certification shall describe any suspension, debarment, voluntary exclusion, or ineligibility determination actions by an agency of the federal government, and any indictment, conviction, or civil judgment involving fraud or official misconduct, each with respect to the bidder or any person associated therewith in the capacity of owner, partner, director, officer, principal investor, project director/supervisor, manager, auditor, or a position involving the administration of federal funds; such certification shall cover the three-year period immediately preceding the date of the bid. Information adverse to the bidder as contained in the certification will be reviewed by the Authority and by the Federal Highway Administration, and may result in rejection of the bid and disqualification of the bidder.
- (f) The bidder shall place each completed set of bid documents in a sealed envelope that shall be clearly marked "Bid Documents for _____" (name of the project or service). When submitted by mail, this envelope shall be placed in another envelope that shall be sealed and addressed as indicated in the notice. Bids must be received at the location designated in the notice on or before the hour, as established by the official clock of the Authority, and date set for the receipt. The official clock at the place designated for receipt of bids shall serve as the official

determinant of the hour for which the bid shall be submitted and shall be considered late.

5.9 Revision of Bid by Bidder. A bidder may change a bid price before it is submitted to the Authority by changing the price and initialing the revision in ink. A bidder may change a bid price after it is submitted to the Authority by requesting return of the bid in writing prior to the expiration of the time for receipt of bids. A person authorized to bind the bidder must make the request. The Authority will not accept a request by telephone, telegraph, or electronic mail, but will accept a properly signed facsimile request. The revised bid must be resubmitted prior to the time specified for the close of the receipt of bids.

5.10 Withdrawal of Bid. A bidder may withdraw a bid by submitting a request in writing before the time and date of the bid opening. A person authorized to bind the bidder must make the request. The Authority will not accept telephone, telegraph, or electronic mail requests, but will accept a properly signed facsimile request.

5.11 Acceptance, Rejection, and Reading of Bids. Bids will be opened and read at a public meeting held at the time, date, and place designated in the notice. Only the person so designated by the Authority shall open bids on the date specified in the notice, or as may have been extended by direction of the Executive Director. The Authority, acting through the Executive Director or the Executive Director's designee, will not accept and will not read a bid if:

- (a) the bid is submitted by an unqualified bidder;
- (b) the bid is in a form other than the official bid documents issued to the bidder;
- (c) the form and content of the bid do not comply with the requirements of the bid documents and/or subsection 5.8;
- (d) the bid, and if required, federal-aid project certification, are not signed;
- (e) the bid was received after the time or at some location other than specified in the notice or as may have been extended;
- (f) the bid guaranty, if required, does not comply with subsection 5.8;
- (g) the bidder did not attend a specified mandatory pre-bid conference, if required under the bid documents;
- (h) the proprietor, partner, majority shareholder, or substantial owner is thirty (30) or more days delinquent in providing child support under a court order or a written repayment agreement;
- (i) the bidder was not authorized to be issued a bid under this policy;
- (j) the bid did not otherwise conform with the requirements of this policy; or
- (k) more than one bid involves a bidder under the same or different names.

5.12 Tabulation of Bids. Except for lump sum building contracts bid items, the official total bid amount for each bidder will be determined by multiplying the unit bid price written in for each item by the respective quantity and totaling those amounts. If a unit bid price is illegible, the Authority will make a documented determination of the unit bid price for tabulation purposes. If a unit bid price has been entered for both the regular bid and a corresponding alternate bid, the Authority will determine the option that results in the lowest total cost to the Authority and tabulate as such. If both the regular and alternate bids result in the same cost to the Authority, the Authority will select the regular bid item or items.

5.13 Award of Contract. Except as otherwise provided in this section 5, if the Authority does not reject all bids, it will award the contract to the lowest best bidder. In determining the lowest best bidder, in addition to price the Authority shall consider:

- (a) the bidder's ability, capacity, and skill to perform the contract or provide the service required;
- (b) the bidder's ability to perform the contract or provide the service promptly, or in the time required, without delay or interference;
- (c) the bidder's character, responsibility, integrity, reputation, and experience;
- (d) the quality of performance by the bidder of previous contracts or services;
- (e) the bidder's previous and existing compliance with laws relating to the contract or service; and
- (f) the sufficiency of the bidder's financial resources and ability to perform the contract or provide the service.

5.14 Rejection of Bids; Nonresident Bidders. The Authority, acting through the Executive Director or his or her designee, may reject any and all bids opened, read, and tabulated under this policy. It will reject all bids if:

- (a) there is reason to believe collusion may have existed among the bidders;
- (b) the low bid is determined to be both mathematically and materially unbalanced;
- (c) the lowest best bid is higher than the Authority's estimate and the Authority determines that re-advertising the project for bids may result in a significantly lower low bid or that the work should be done by the Authority; or
- (d) the Board of Directors, acting on the recommendation of the Executive Director, determines, for any reason, that it is in the best interest of the Authority to reject all bids.

In accordance with Texas Government Code, Chapter 2252, Subchapter A, the Authority will not award a contract to a nonresident bidder unless the nonresident underbids the lowest best bid submitted by a responsible resident bidder by an amount that is not less than the amount by

which a resident bidder would be required to underbid the nonresident bidder to obtain a comparable contract in the state in which the nonresident's principal place of business is located.

5.15 Bid Protests.

- (a) All protests relating to advertising of bid notices, alleged improprieties or ambiguities in bid documents, deadlines, bid openings and all other bid-related procedures must be made in writing and submitted to the Executive Director within five (5) business days of the bid opening. Each protest must include the following:
 - (1) the name and address of the protester, and the vendor it represents, if different;
 - (2) the identification number, reference number, or other identifying criteria specified in the bid documents to identify the procurement in question;
 - (3) a statement of the grounds for protest; and
 - (4) all documentation supporting the protest.
- (b) A decision and response to the protest will be prepared by the Executive Director within a reasonable time after receipt of a properly prepared written protest.
- (c) Appeals of responses and decisions regarding protests must be made to the Board in writing, and must be filed with the Executive Director of the Authority, with a copy to the Chairman of the Board of Directors, within ten (10) business days after the response and decision regarding the original protest are issued. Written appeals shall include all information contained in the original written protest, as well as any newly discovered documentation supporting the protest that was not reasonably available to the protester when the original protest was filed. Subject to all applicable laws governing the Authority, the decision of the Board regarding an appeal shall be final.

5.16 Contract Execution; Submission of Ancillary Items.

- (a) Within the time limit specified by the Authority, the successful bidder must execute and deliver the contract to the Authority together with all information required by the Authority relating to the Disadvantaged Business Enterprises participation to be used to achieve the contract's Disadvantaged Business Enterprises goal as specified in the bid documents and the contract.
- (b) After the Authority sends written notification of its acceptance of the successful bidder's documentation to achieve the Disadvantaged Business Enterprises goal, if any, the successful bidder must furnish to the Authority within the time limit specified by the Authority:
 - (1) a performance bond and a payment bond, if required and as required by Texas Government Code, Chapter 2253, with powers of attorneys attached,

each in the full amount of the contract price, executed by a surety company or surety companies authorized to execute surety bonds under and in accordance with state law;

- (2) a certificate of insurance on a form acceptable to the Authority showing coverage in accordance with contract requirements; provided, however, that a successful bidder on a routine construction contract will be required to provide the certificate of insurance prior to the date the contractor begins work as specified in the Authority's order to begin work.

5.17 Unbalanced Bids. The Authority will examine the unit bid prices of the apparent low bid for reasonable conformance with the Authority's estimated prices. The Authority will evaluate, and may reject, a bid with extreme variations from the Authority's estimate, or where obvious unbalancing of unit prices has occurred.

5.18 Bid Guaranty. Not later than seven (7) business days after bids are opened, the Authority will mail the bid guaranty of all bidders to the address specified on each bidder's bid documents, except that the Authority will retain the bid guaranty of the apparent lowest best bidder, second-lowest best bidder, and third-lowest best bidder, until after the contract has been awarded, executed, and bonded. If the successful bidder (including a second-lowest best bidder or third-lowest best bidder that ultimately becomes the successful bidder due to a superior bidder's failure to comply with these rules or to execute a contract with the Authority) does not comply with subsection 5.16 the bid guaranty will become the property of the Authority, not as a penalty but as liquidated damages, unless the bidder effects compliance within seven (7) business days after the date the bidder is required to submit the bonds and insurance certificate under subsection 5.16. A bidder who forfeits a bid guaranty will not be considered in future bids for the same work unless there has been a substantial change in the design of the project subsequent to the forfeiture of the bid guaranty and the Board of Directors, upon request made in writing by bidder and received at such time that the Board may consider the request at a regularly scheduled board meeting prior to the due date for the bids, approves of the submission of a bid by the bidder.

5.19 Progress Payments; Retainage and Liquated Damages.

- (a) In addition to other provisions required by the Authority, construction and building contracts will provide for the Authority to make progress payments, which shall be reduced by retainage, as work progresses and is approved by the Authority.
- (b) Retainage shall be in the amount of five percent (5%) of the contract price until the entire work has been completed and accepted. Unless the Authority agrees otherwise in writing, retainage shall not bear interest or be segregated from other Authority funds. If the Authority agrees to segregate retainage in an interest-bearing account, the Authority may impose terms and conditions on such arrangement, including but not limited to, the following:
 - (1) retained funds must be deposited under the terms of a trust agreement with a state or national bank domiciled in Texas and approved by the Authority;

- (2) all expenses incident to the deposit and all charges made by the escrow agent for custody of the securities and forwarding of interest shall be paid solely by the contractor;
 - (3) the Authority may, at any time and with or without reason, demand in writing that the bank return or repay, within 30 days of the demand, the retainage or any investments in which it is invested; and
 - (4) any other terms and conditions prescribed by the Authority as necessary to protect the interests of the Authority.
- (c) Without limiting the Authority's right to require any other contract provisions, the Authority, at its sole discretion, may elect to require that a liquidated damages provision be made a part of any contract it enters into.

5.20 Construction Manager-at-Risk Method.

- (a) In accordance with TEX. GOV'T CODE § 2269.051, the Authority adopts Chapter 2269, TEX. GOV'T CODE in order to implement the Construction Manager-at-Risk Method described in Chapter 2269, Subchapter F, TEX. GOV'T CODE. This Procurement Policy, the provisions of Chapter 2269, TEX. GOV'T CODE, and any other applicable laws shall be utilized in implementing this method of procurement.

SECTION 6. PROFESSIONAL SERVICES.

6.1 General. Except as otherwise permitted by Transportation Code, Chapter 370, the Authority shall procure all professional services governed by the Professional Services Procurement Act in accordance with the requirements of that Act. In the event of any conflict between these policies and procedures and the Act, the Act shall control.

6.2 Selection of Provider; Fees.

- (a) The Authority may not select a provider of professional services or a group or association of providers or award a contract for the services on the basis of competitive bids submitted for the contract or for the services, but shall make the selection and award based on the provider's:
 - (1) demonstrated competence and qualifications to perform the service, including precertification by TxDOT (if applicable); and
 - (2) ability to perform the services for a fair and reasonable price.
- (b) The professional fees under the contract:
 - (1) may be consistent with and must not be higher than the recommended practices and fees published by any applicable professional associations and that are customary in the area of the Authority; and

- (2) may not exceed any maximum provided by law.

6.3 Request for Qualifications. In order to evaluate the demonstrated competence and qualifications of prospective providers of professional services, the Authority shall invite prospective providers of professional services to submit their qualifications to provide such services as specified in a Request for Qualifications (“RFQ”) issued by the Authority. Each RFQ for professional services shall describe the services required by the Authority, the criteria used to evaluate Statements of Qualifications (SOQ), and the relative weight given to the criteria.

6.4 Notice of RFQs.

- (a) Notice of the issuance of an RFQ for professional services must provide (1) the date, time, and place where responses to the RFQ must be submitted, (2) the contact or location from which prospective professional service providers may request the RFQ, and (3) a general description of the type of professional services being sought by the Authority. Alternatively, the Authority may publish or otherwise distribute, in accordance with these procedures, the RFQ itself in lieu of publishing a notice of RFQ. Neither a notice of an RFQ for professional services, nor any RFQ itself shall require the submission of any specific pricing information for the specific work described in the RFQ, and may only require information necessary to demonstrate the experience, qualifications, and competence of the potential provider of professional services.
- (b) The Authority shall publish on its website all notices of the issuance of an RFQ and/or the entirety of the RFQ itself at least two (2) weeks prior to the deadline for the responses.
- (c) The Authority may also publish notice of the issuance of an RFQ, or the content of the RFQ itself, in an issue of the *Texas Register*, and in newspapers, trade journals, or other such locations as the Authority determines will enhance competition for the provision of services.
- (d) The date specified in the RFQ as the deadline for submission of responses may be extended if the Executive Director determines that the extension is in the best interest of the Authority.

6.5 Contract for Professional Services

- (a) In procuring professional services, the Authority shall:
 - (1) first select the most highly qualified provider of those services on the basis of demonstrated competence and qualifications; and
 - (2) then attempt to negotiate with that provider a contract at a fair and reasonable price.
- (b) If a satisfactory contract cannot be negotiated with the most highly qualified provider of professional services, the Authority shall:

- (1) formally end negotiations with that provider;
 - (2) select the next most highly qualified provider; and
 - (3) attempt to negotiate a contract with that provider at a fair and reasonable price.
- (c) The Authority shall continue the process described in this section to select and negotiate with providers until a contract is entered into or until it determines that the services are no longer needed or do not serve the best interests of the Authority.

6.6 Termination of Procurement. The Authority may terminate a procurement of professional services pursuant to this section 6 at any time upon a determination that a continuation of the process is not in the Authority's best interest.

6.7 Federally Funded Services. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in the foregoing policies, when procuring professional services that will be paid or reimbursed with federal-aid highway program funding, the Authority shall comply with requirements and procedures set forth in 23 C.F.R. Part 172. This shall include, without limitation, utilizing a two-step procurement process.

SECTION 7. GENERAL GOODS AND SERVICES.

7.1 Approval of Board. Every procurement of general goods and services costing more than fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000.00) shall require the approval of the Board, evidenced by a resolution adopted by the Board. A large procurement may not be divided into smaller lot purchases to avoid the dollar limits prescribed herein.

7.2 Purchase Threshold Amounts. The Authority may procure general goods and services costing fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000.00) or less by such method and on such terms as the Executive Director determines to be in the best interests of the Authority. General goods and services costing more than fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000.00) shall be procured using competitive bidding or competitive sealed proposals. A large procurement may not be divided into smaller lot purchases to avoid the dollar limits prescribed herein.

7.3 Competitive Bidding Procedures. Competitive bidding for general goods and services shall be conducted using the same procedures specified for the competitive bidding of construction contracts, except that:

- (a) with respect to a particular procurement, the Executive Director may waive the qualification requirements for all prospective bidders;
- (b) the Executive Director may waive the submission of payment or performance bonds (or both) and/or insurance certificates by the successful bidder if not otherwise required by law;
- (c) notice of the procurement shall be published on the Authority's website and shall be published in an officially designated newspaper of the Authority at least once,

with the first such notice published at least two (2) weeks before the deadline for the submission of responses;

- (d) in addition to advertisement of the procurement as set forth in subsection 7.3(c) above, the Authority may solicit bids by direct mail, telephone, *Texas Register* publication, advertising in other locations, or via the Internet. If such additional solicitations are made, the prospective bidder may not be solicited by mail, telephone, internet, or in any other manner, nor may the prospective bidder receive bid documents until such time that the advertisement has appeared on the Authority's website or in an officially designated newspaper of the Authority; and
- (e) a purchase may be proposed on a lump-sum or unit price basis. If the Authority chooses to use unit pricing in its notice, the information furnished to the bidder must specify the approximate quantities estimated on the best available information, but the compensation paid the bidder must be based on the actual quantities purchased.

7.4 Award Under Competitive Bidding.

- (a) Contracts for general goods and services procured using competitive bidding shall be awarded to the lowest best bidder based on the same criteria used in awarding construction contracts, together with the following additional criteria:
 - (1) the quality and availability of the goods or contractual services to be provided and their adaptability to the Authority's needs and uses; and
 - (2) the bidder's ability to provide, in timely manner, future maintenance, repair parts, and service for goods being purchased.
- (b) In accordance with Texas Government Code, Chapter 2252, Subchapter A, the Authority will not award a contract to a nonresident bidder unless the nonresident underbids the lowest best bid submitted by a responsible resident bidder by an amount that is not less than the amount by which a resident bidder would be required to underbid the nonresident bidder to obtain a comparable contract in the state in which the nonresident's principal place of business is located.

7.5 Competitive Sealed Proposals.

- (a) Request for Proposals. As an alternative to competitive bidding and at the discretion of the Authority, the Authority may solicit offers for provision of general goods and services by issuing a request for proposals ("RFP"). Each RFP shall contain the following information:
 - (1) the Authority's specifications for the good or service to be procured;
 - (2) an estimate of the various quantities and kinds of services to be performed and/or materials to be furnished;

- (3) a schedule of items for which unit prices are requested, if applicable;
- (4) the time within which the contract is to be performed;
- (5) any special provisions and special specifications; and
- (6) if applicable, the Authority's goals regarding the participation in the contract or in subcontracts let under the contract by Disadvantaged Business Enterprises.

The Authority shall give public notice of an RFP in the manner provided for requests for competitive bids for general goods and services.

- (b) Opening and Filing of Proposals; Public Inspection. The Authority shall avoid disclosing the contents of each proposal on opening the proposal and during negotiations with competing offerors. The Authority shall file each proposal in a register of proposals, which, after a contract is awarded, is open for public inspection unless the register contains information that is excepted from disclosure as public information.
- (c) Revision of Proposals. After receiving a proposal but before making an award, the Authority may permit an offeror to revise its proposal to obtain the best final offer. The Authority may discuss acceptable or potentially acceptable proposals with offerors to assess an offeror's ability to meet the solicitation requirements. The Authority may not disclose information derived from proposals submitted from competing offerors. The Authority shall provide each offeror an equal opportunity to discuss and revise proposals.
- (d) Refusal of All Proposals. The Authority shall refuse all proposals if none of those submitted is acceptable.
- (e) Contract Execution. The Authority shall submit a written contract to the offeror (the "first-choice candidate") whose proposal is the most advantageous to the Authority, considering price and the evaluation factors in the RFP. The terms of the contract shall incorporate the terms set forth in the RFP and the proposal submitted by the first-choice candidate, but if the proposal conflicts with the RFP, the RFP shall control unless the Authority elects otherwise. If the Authority and the first-choice candidate cannot agree on the terms of a contract, the Authority may elect not to contract with the first-choice candidate, and at the exclusive option of the Authority, may submit a contract to the offeror ("second-choice candidate") whose proposal is the next most favorable to the Authority. If agreement is not reached with the second-choice candidate, the process may be continued with other offerors in like manner, but the Authority shall have no obligation to submit a contract to the next highest-ranked offeror if the Authority determines at any time during the process that none of the remaining proposals is acceptable or otherwise within the best interest of the Authority.

(f) Depository Services. As an alternative to competitive bidding, as determined by the Authority in its sole and absolute discretion, the Authority may solicit applications from depository banks in Cameron County, Texas, for the deposit of the Authority's public funds. Notice that the Authority intends to receive applications from which to select a depository bank shall be published at least once each week for twenty (20) days in the officially designated newspaper of the Authority, be posted on the Authority's website, and be posted at the Cameron County Courthouse before the date to submit the application. Each application shall contain at least the following in the Authority's sole and absolute discretion:

- (1) The amount of the bank's paid-up capital stock and permanent surplus.
- (2) A statement showing the financial condition of the bank on the date of the application.
- (3) A certified check or cashier's check for at least one-half percent of the Authority's revenue for the preceding year.
- (4) The ability to qualify as a depository for public funds in accordance with state law.
- (5) Any other information necessary to comply with or satisfy any and all other requirements described in the Authority's procurement, the Authority's policies, and applicable law.

At the meeting at which the Authority selects the qualified applicant(s), the Authority shall:

- (1) Enter all applications filed with the Authority in the minutes of the meeting.
- (2) Consider the applications.
- (3) Select the qualified applicant(s) that offer the most favorable terms and conditions for the handling of the Authority's funds as determined in the sole and absolute discretion of the Board.
- (4) Designate the depository bank(s) for the Authority's funds by adopting a resolution to that effect.
- (5) Perform any other actions concerning the applications as determined by the Board of Directors of the Authority.

7.6 Proprietary Purchases. If the Executive Director finds that the Authority's requirements for the procurement of a general good or service describe a product that is proprietary to one vendor and do not permit an equivalent product to be supplied, the Authority may solicit a bid for the general good or service solely from the proprietary vendor, without using the competitive bidding

or competitive proposal procedures. The Executive Director shall justify in writing the Authority's requirements and shall submit the written justification to the Board. The written justification must (1) explain the need for the specifications; (2) state the reason competing products are not satisfactory; and (3) provide other information requested by the Board.

SECTION 8. CONSULTING SERVICES.

8.1 Contracting for Consulting Services. The Authority may contract for consulting services if the Executive Director reasonably determines that the Authority cannot adequately perform the services with its own personnel.

8.2 Selection Criteria. The Authority shall base its selection on demonstrated competence, knowledge, and qualifications and on the reasonableness of the proposed fee for the services.

8.3 Contract Amounts. The Authority may procure consulting services anticipated to cost no more than fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000.00) by such method and on such terms as the Executive Director determines to be in the best interests of the Authority. Without limiting the foregoing, the Executive Director may, subject to section 8.9 below, procure consulting services anticipated to cost no more than fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000.00) pursuant to a "single-source contract," if the Executive Director determines that only one prospective consultant possesses the demonstrated competence, knowledge, and qualifications to provide the services required by the Authority at a reasonable fee and within the time limitations required by the Authority. Consulting services anticipated to cost more than fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000.00) shall be procured by the Authority's issuance of a Request for Qualifications ("RFQ") or a Request for Proposals ("RFP") as the Authority deems appropriate.

8.4. Request for Qualifications. Each RFQ prepared by the Authority shall invite prospective consultants to submit their qualifications to provide such services as specified in the RFQ. Each RFQ shall describe the services required by the Authority, the criteria used to evaluate proposals, and the relative weight given to the criteria.

8.5. Request for Proposals. Each RFP shall contain the following information:

- (a) the Authority's specifications for the service to be procured;
- (b) an estimate of the various quantities and kinds of services to be performed;
- (c) a schedule of items for which unit prices are requested, if applicable;
- (d) the time within which the contract is to be performed;
- (e) any special provisions and special specifications; and
- (f) if applicable, the Authority's goals regarding the participation in the contract or in subcontracts let under the contract by Disadvantaged Business Enterprises.

8.6 Notice of Procurement and Solicitation of Responses.

- (a) Notice of the issuance of an RFQ or RFP must provide (1) the date, time, and place where responses to the RFQ or RFP will be opened, (2) the address and telephone

number from which prospective proposers may request the RFQ or RFP, and (3) a general description of the type of services being sought by the Authority. Alternatively, the Authority may publish and otherwise distribute, in accordance with these procedures, the RFQ or RFP itself in lieu of publishing a notice of issuance of an RFQ or RFP.

- (b) Notice of the issuance of an RFQ or RFP, or the content of the RFQ or RFP itself, shall be posted on the Authority's website and shall be published in an officially designated newspaper of the Authority at least once, with the first such notice published at least two (2) weeks before the deadline for the submission of responses.
- (c) The Authority may, but shall not be required to, solicit responses to a RFQ or RFP by direct mail, telephone, advertising in trade journals or other locations, or via the Internet. If such additional solicitations are made, the prospective bidder may not be solicited by mail, telephone, internet, or in any other manner, nor may the prospective bidder receive bid documents, until such time that notice of the RFQ or RFP has been made available on the Authority's website or published in an officially designated newspaper of the Authority.
- (d) The date specified in the RFQ or RFP as the deadline for submission of responses may be extended if the Executive Director determines that the extension is in the best interest of the Authority. All responses, including those received before an extension is made, must be opened at the same time.

8.7 Opening and Filing of Responses; Public Inspection. The Authority shall avoid disclosing the contents of each response to an RFQ on opening the response and during negotiations with competing respondents. The Authority shall file each response in a register of responses, which, after a contract is awarded, is open for public inspection unless the register contains information that is excepted from disclosure under the Texas Public Information Act or other applicable law.

8.8 Contract Negotiation and Execution.

- (a) With regard to consulting services procured through issuance of an RFQ, the Authority shall submit a written contract to the respondent (the "first-choice candidate") whose response best satisfies the Authority's selection criteria. If the Authority and the first-choice candidate cannot agree on the terms of a contract, the Authority may terminate negotiations with the first-choice candidate, and, at the exclusive option of the Authority, the Authority may enter into contract negotiations with the respondent ("second-choice candidate") whose response is the next most favorable to the Authority. If agreement is not reached with the second-choice candidate, the process may be continued with other respondents in like manner, but the Authority shall have no obligation to submit a contract to the next highest-ranked respondent if the Authority determines that none of the remaining responses is acceptable or that continuing with the procurement is not within the best interest of the Authority.

- (b) With regard to consulting services procured through issuance of an RFP, the Authority shall submit a written contract to the offeror (the "first-choice candidate") whose proposal is the most advantageous to the Authority, considering price and the evaluation factors in the RFP. The terms of the contract shall incorporate the terms set forth in the RFP and the proposal submitted by the first choice candidate, but if the proposal conflicts with the RFP, the RFP shall control unless the Authority elects otherwise. If the Authority and the first choice candidate cannot agree on the terms of a contract, the Authority may elect not to contract with the first choice candidate, and at the exclusive option of the Authority, may submit a contract to the offeror ("second-choice candidate") whose proposal is the next most favorable to the Authority. If agreement is not reached with the second choice candidate, the process may be continued with other offerors in like manner, but the Authority shall have no obligation to submit a contract to the next highest-ranked offeror if the Authority determines at any time during the process that none of the remaining proposals is acceptable or otherwise within the best interest of the Authority.

8.9 Single-Source Contracts. If the Executive Director determines that only one prospective consultant possesses the demonstrated competence, knowledge, and qualifications to provide the services required by the Authority at a reasonable fee and within the time limitations required by the Authority, consulting services from that consultant may be procured without issuing an RFQ or RFP; provided, however, that the Executive Director shall justify in writing the basis for classifying the consultant as a single-source and shall submit the written justification to the Board. The justification shall be submitted for Board consideration prior to contracting with the consultant if the anticipated cost of the services exceeds fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000.00). If the anticipated cost of services is less than fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000.00), the Executive Director, with the prior approval of the Executive Committee, may enter into a contract for services and shall submit the justification to the Board at its next regularly scheduled board meeting.

8.10 Prior Employees. Except as otherwise provided by state or federal law or for those employment positions identified in a resolution of the Board, nothing shall prohibit the Authority from procuring consulting services from an individual who has previously been employed by the Authority or by any other political subdivision of the state or by any state agency; provided, that if a prospective consultant has been employed by the Authority, another political subdivision, or a state agency at any time during the two years preceding the making of an offer to provide consulting services to the Authority, the prospective consultant shall disclose in writing to the Authority the nature of his or her previous employment with the Authority, other political subdivision, or state agency; the date such employment was terminated; and his or her annual rate of compensation for the employment at the time of termination.

8.11 Mixed Contracts. This section 8 applies to a contract that involves both consulting and other non-professional services if the primary objective of the contract is the acquisition of consulting services.

SECTION 9. COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENTS.

9.1 Comprehensive Development Agreements Allowed. If specifically authorized by an applicable statute, the Authority may enter into a CDA with a private entity to construct, maintain,

repair, operate, extend, or expand a transportation project. A CDA shall, at a minimum, provide for the design and construction of a transportation project, may also provide for the financing, acquisition, maintenance, or operation of a transportation project, and shall entitle the private entity to a leasehold interest in the transportation project or the right to operate or retain revenue from the operation of the transportation project. The Authority is also allowed to negotiate provisions relating to professional and consulting services provided in connection with a CDA.

9.2 Competitive Procurement Process For CDA. The Authority may either accept unsolicited proposals relating to a CDA or solicit proposals relating to a CDA in accordance with this section 9. The competitive bidding requirements for highway projects as specified under Chapter 223, Texas Transportation Code, and the Texas Professional Services Procurement Act (Chapter 2254, Texas Government Code) do not apply to a CDA. The CDA procurement process may also provide for the submission of alternative technical concepts (“ATCs”) and value added concepts (“VACs”) from proposers.

9.3 Unsolicited Proposals.

- (a) The Authority may, at its sole option, accept unsolicited proposals for a project authorized by statute to be developed through a CDA. An unsolicited proposal must be filed with the Authority and shall be accompanied by a \$20,000.00 non-refundable review fee. An unsolicited proposal shall include the following information:
 - (1) the proposed transportation project location, scope, and limits;
 - (2) information regarding the proposing entity’s qualifications, experience, technical competence, and capability to develop the project;
 - (3) a proposed financial plan for the proposed project that includes, at a minimum, (A) projected project costs, and (B) proposed sources of funds; and
 - (4) the identity of any member of, or proposed subconsultant for, the proposing entity or team who is also performing work, directly or as a subconsultant, for the Authority.
- (b) Unsolicited proposals shall be reviewed by the Authority staff. The staff may request additional information from the proposer. Based on its review, the staff will make an initial recommendation to the Board (or a designated committee thereof) as to whether the Authority should authorize further evaluation of the unsolicited proposal.
- (c) If the Authority authorizes further evaluation of an unsolicited proposal, then the Authority shall publish a request for qualifications (“RFQ”) in accordance with the requirements of section 9.4. Evaluation of proposals submitted in response to RFQs shall occur in accordance with the provisions of section 9.5.

9.4 Authority Solicitation of Proposals and Competing Proposals; Requests for Qualifications. The Authority may solicit proposals or competing proposals by issuing an RFQ relating to a CDA project. The Authority shall publish an RFQ (or notice of availability of an RFQ) in the *Texas Register* and post it on the Authority's website.

- (a) An RFQ issued by the Authority shall include the following information:
 - (1) a description of the project;
 - (2) criteria used to evaluate the proposals;
 - (3) the relative weight given to the criteria; and
 - (4) the deadline by which proposals must be received by the Authority.
- (b) A proposal submitted in response to an RFQ issued under this section 9.4, or a competing proposal submitted in response to an RFQ issued under section 9.3(c) above, must include, at a minimum, the following:
 - (1) information regarding the proposer's qualifications, experience, technical competence, and capability to develop the project;
 - (2) a proposed financial plan for the proposed project that includes, at a minimum, (A) projected project costs, and (B) proposed sources of funds;
 - (3) such additional information that the Authority requests within the RFQ;
 - (4) the identity of any member of, or proposed subconsultant for, the proposing entity or team who is also performing work, directly or as a subconsultant, for the Authority; and
 - (5) in the case of a competing proposal submitted in response to an RFQ published by the Authority after receipt of an unsolicited proposal, a \$20,000 non-refundable proposal review fee.
- (c) The Authority may withdraw an RFQ at any time, and may then publish a new RFQ in accordance with this section 9.4.

9.5 Evaluation of Proposals Submitted in Response to a Request For Qualifications.

(a) The Authority shall review responses to an RFQ submitted in accordance with section 9.4 based on the criteria described in the RFQ. The Authority shall evaluate all proposals received, and shall determine which proposers qualify to submit detailed proposals in accordance with the requirements of section 9.6. The Authority may include an interview as part of its evaluation process. (b) The Authority must qualify at least two (2) private entities to submit detailed proposals in accordance with the procedures under section 9.6, unless the Authority does not receive more than one (1) proposal in response to an RFQ. If only one (1) entity responds to an RFQ (or no entity submits a response to an RFQ issued after receipt of an unsolicited proposal)

the Authority may request a detailed proposal from, and may attempt to negotiate a CDA with, the sole proposer.

9.6 Requests For Detailed Proposals.

- (a) The Authority shall issue a request for detailed proposals (“RFDP”) from all proposers qualified in accordance with section 9.5 above. The Authority shall provide an RFDP directly to the proposer, and such RFDP must contain the following information:
 - (1) detailed instructions for preparing the technical proposal and items to be included;
 - (2) the process for submission of ATCs and/or VACs and the manner in which they will be considered in the evaluation and scoring process;
 - (3) the relative weighting of the technical and price proposals and the criteria for evaluating and ranking them;
 - (4) the stipulated amount to be paid to unsuccessful proposers subject to section 9.12 below, if any; and
 - (5) the deadline by which proposals must be received.
- (b) An RFDP under this section 9.6 shall require proposers to submit a sealed technical proposal and a separate sealed cost proposal. An RFDP under this section 9.6 may require proposers to provide information relating to the following:
 - (1) the proposer’s qualifications and demonstrated technical competence;
 - (2) the feasibility of developing the project as proposed;
 - (3) detailed engineering or architectural designs;
 - (4) the proposer’s ability to meet schedules;
 - (5) costing methodology; and
 - (6) any other information the Authority considers relevant or necessary to fully assess the project.
- (c) The Authority may withdraw a RFDP at any time prior to the submission deadline for detailed proposals. In such event the Authority shall have no liability to the entities chosen to submit detailed proposals.
- (d) In developing and preparing to issue a RFDP in accordance with section 9.6(a), the Authority may solicit input from entities qualified under section 9.5 or any other person.

- (e) After the Authority has issued a RFDP under section 9.6(a) but prior to the submission of RFDP responses, the Authority may solicit input from the proposers regarding ATCs and/or VACs.

9.7 Evaluation and Ranking of Detailed CDA Proposals. The Authority shall first open, evaluate, and score each technical proposal based on criteria set forth in the RFDP. The Authority shall subsequently open, evaluate, and score each cost proposal based on criteria set forth in the RFDP. Based on the weighting of technical and cost proposals described in the RFDP, the Authority shall then identify the proposer whose proposal offers the best value to the Authority. The Authority may interview the proposers as part of its evaluation process.

9.8 Post-Submissions Discussions.

- (a) After the Authority has evaluated and ranked the detailed proposals in accordance with section 9.7, the Authority may enter into discussions with the proposer whose proposal offers the apparent best value, provided that the discussions must be limited to incorporation of aspects of other detailed proposals for the purpose of achieving the overall best value for the Authority; clarifications and minor adjustments in scheduling, designs, operating characteristics, cash flow, and similar items; and other matters that have arisen since the submission of the detailed proposal.
- (b) If at any point in discussions under subsection 9.8(a) above, it appears to the Authority that the highest-ranking proposal will not provide the Authority with the overall best value, the Authority may end discussions with the highest-ranking proposer and enter into discussions with the proposer submitting the next-highest ranking proposal.
- (c) If, after receipt of detailed proposals, the Authority determines that development of a project through a CDA is not in the best interest of the Authority, or the Authority determines for any other reason that it does not desire to continue the procurement, the Authority may terminate the process and, in such event, it shall not be required to negotiate a CDA with any of the proposers.

9.9 Negotiations for CDA. Subsequent to the discussions conducted pursuant to section 9.8 and provided the Authority has not terminated or withdrawn the procurement, the Authority and the highest-ranking proposer shall attempt to negotiate the specific terms of a CDA.

- (a) The Authority shall prescribe the general form of the CDA and may include any matter therein considered advantageous to the Authority.
- (b) The Authority may establish a deadline for the completion of negotiations for a CDA. If an agreement has not been executed within that time, the Authority may terminate the negotiations, or, at its discretion, may extend the time for negotiating an agreement.
- (c) In the event an agreement is not negotiated within the time specified by the Authority, or if the parties otherwise agree to cease negotiations, the Authority may

commence negotiations with the second-ranked proposer or it may terminate the process of pursuing a CDA for the project which is the subject of the procurement process.

- (d) Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Authority may terminate the procurement process, including the negotiations for a CDA, at any time upon a determination that continuation of the process or development of a project through a CDA is not in the Authority's best interest. In such event, the Authority shall have no liability to any proposer beyond the payment provided for under section 9.12 if detailed proposals have been submitted to the Authority.

9.10 CDA Projects with Private Equity Investment.

- (a) If a project to be developed through a CDA involves an equity investment by the proposer, the terms to be negotiated by the Authority and the proposer may include, but shall not be limited to:
 - (1) methods to determine the applicable cost, inflation indices, profit, and project distribution between the proposer and the Authority;
 - (2) reasonable methods to determine and classify toll rates or user fees;
 - (3) acceptable safety and policing standards; and
 - (4) other applicable professional, consulting, construction, operational and maintenance standards, expenses and costs.
- (b) The Authority may only enter into a CDA with private equity investment if permitted by law and if the project that is the subject of the CDA is identified in TxDOT's unified transportation program or is located on a transportation corridor identified in a statewide transportation plan.
- (c) The Authority may not incur a financial obligation for a private entity that constructs, maintains, or operates a transportation project. A CDA must include a provision authorizing the Authority to purchase the interest of a private equity investor in a transportation project.

9.11 Authority Property Subject to a CDA. A transportation project (excluding a public utility facility) that is the subject of a CDA is public property and belongs to the Authority, provided that the Authority may lease rights-of-ways, grant easements, issue franchises, licenses, permits, or any other lawful form of use to enable a private entity to construct, operate, and maintain a transportation project, including supplemental facilities. At the termination of any such agreement, the transportation project shall be returned to the Authority in a state of maintenance deemed adequate by the Authority and at no additional cost to the Authority.

9.12 Payment For Submission of Detailed CDA Proposals.

- (a) The Authority may pay an unsuccessful proposer that submits a detailed proposal in response to a RFDP under section 9.6 a stipulated amount of the final contract price for any costs incurred in preparing that detailed proposal. If a payment is to be made, the amount may not exceed the lesser of the amount identified in the RFDP or the value of any work product contained in the proposal that can, as determined by the Authority, be used by the Authority in the performance of its functions. Use by the Authority of any design element contained in an unsuccessful detailed proposal is at the sole risk and discretion of the Authority and does not confer liability on the recipient of the stipulated amount under this section.
- (b) After payment of the stipulated amount, if any, the Authority shall own the exclusive rights to, and may make use of, any work product contained in the detailed proposal, including technologies, techniques, methods, processes, and information contained in the project design. In addition, the work product contained in the proposal becomes the property of the Authority.

9.13 Confidentiality of Negotiations for CDAs. The Authority shall use its best efforts to protect the confidentiality of information generated and/or submitted in connection with the process for entering into a CDA to the extent permitted by Transportation Code §370.307. The Authority shall notify any proposer whose information submitted in connection with the process for entering into a CDA is the subject of a Public Information Act request received by the Authority.

9.14 Performance and Payment Security.

- (a) The Authority shall require any private entity entering into a CDA to provide a performance and payment bond or an alternative form of security in an amount sufficient to insure the proper performance of the agreement and to protect the Authority and payment bond beneficiaries who have a direct contractual relationship with the private entity and subcontractors of the private entity who supply labor or materials. A performance or payment bond or alternative form of security shall be in an amount equal to the cost of constructing or maintaining the project, provided that if the Authority determines that it is impracticable for a private entity to provide security in such amount, the Authority shall set the amount of the bond or alternative form of security.
- (b) An alternative form of security may not be utilized unless requested by the private entity proposing to enter into a CDA. Such request shall include an explanation as to why an alternative form of security is appropriate, the form of alternative security to be utilized, and the benefits and protections provided to the Authority through use of the requested form of alternative security. A decision on whether to accept alternative forms of security, in whole or in part, shall be at the sole discretion of the Authority.
- (c) A payment or performance bond or alternative form of security is not required for that portion of a CDA that includes only design or planning services, the performance of preliminary studies, or the acquisition of real property.

- (d) In no event may the amount of the payment security be less than the performance security.
- (e) Alternative forms of security may be permitted or required in the following forms:
 - (1) a cashier's check drawn on a financial entity specified by the Authority;
 - (2) a U.S. Bond or Note;
 - (3) an irrevocable bank letter of credit; or
 - (4) any other form of security determined suitable by the Authority.

9.15 Legal Sufficiency Review. The Authority may require a private entity engaged in post-submission discussions or negotiations with the Authority concerning a proposed CDA to pay for or reimburse the Authority for an examination fee assessed in connection with the legal sufficiency review required by section 371.051, Transportation Code. The Authority may elect to make the cost of the examination fee non-refundable in the event that the CDA is not executed.

SECTION 10. DESIGN-BUILD AND DESIGN-BUILD FINANCE AGREEMENTS.

10.1 Design-Build and Design-Build Finance Agreements Allowed. The Authority may use the design-build or design-build-finance method to procure the design, construction, financing, expansion, extension, related capital maintenance, rehabilitation, alternation, or repair of a transportation project. The Authority may not, however, enter into more than two design-build or design-build-finance agreements in any fiscal year.

10.2 Competitive Procurement Process For Design-Build and Design-Build-Finance Agreements. The Authority must solicit proposals for a design-build or design-build-finance agreement in accordance with this section 10. The Professional Services Procurement Act does not apply to a design-build or design-build-finance agreement. The design-build or design-build-finance procurement process may also provide for the submission of alternative technical concepts ("ATCs") and value added concepts ("VACs") from proposers.

10.3 Use of Engineer and Other Professional Services. The Authority must select or designate an engineer or a qualified engineering firm that is independent of the design-build contractor to act as the Authority's representative during the procurement of a design-build or design-build-finance agreement. The engineer representative may be an engineer that is an employee of the Authority; the Authority's general engineering consultant, if any; or a qualified engineer or engineering firm hired by the Authority pursuant to the Professional Services Procurement Act. Additionally, the authority must provide for (through existing engineering resources), or contract for, inspection services, construction materials engineering and testing, and verification testing services independent of the design-build contractor. Any engineer or firm selected pursuant to this section 10.3 must be selected in accordance with the Professional Services Procurement Act and this Policy.

10.4 Requests for Qualifications. The Authority must solicit proposals for a design-build or design-build-finance agreement by issuing a Request for Qualifications ("RFQ"). The Authority

shall publish the RFQ (or notice of availability of the RFQ) in the *Texas Register* and post it on the Authority's website.

- (a) An RFQ issued by the Authority shall include the following information:
 - (1) information regarding the proposed project's location, scope, and limits;
 - (2) information regarding funding that may be available for the project and a description of the financing to be requested from the design-build contractor, as applicable;
 - (3) the criteria that will be used to evaluate the proposals, which must include the proposer's qualifications, experience, technical competence, and ability to develop the project;
 - (4) the relative weight given to the criteria; and
 - (5) the deadline by which proposals must be received by the Authority.
- (b) The Authority may withdraw an RFQ at any time, and may then publish a new RFQ in accordance with this section 10.4.

10.5 Evaluation of Proposals Submitted in Response to a Request For Qualifications.

- (a) The Authority shall review responses to an RFQ submitted in accordance with section 10.4 based on the criteria described in the RFQ. The Authority shall evaluate all proposals received, and shall determine which proposers qualify to submit detailed proposals in accordance with the requirements of section 10.6. The Authority may include an interview as part of its evaluation process.
- (b) The Authority must qualify at least two (2) but no more than five (5) private entities to submit detailed proposals in accordance with the procedures under section 10.6, unless the Authority does not receive more than one (1) proposal in response to an RFQ. If only one (1) entity responds to an RFQ the Authority shall terminate the procurement process.

10.6 Requests For Detailed Proposals.

- (a) The Authority shall issue a request for detailed proposals ("RFDP") to all proposers qualified or short-listed in accordance with section 10.5 above. The Authority shall provide a RFDP directly to the proposer, and such RFDP must contain the following information:
 - (1) information on the overall project goals;
 - (2) the Authority's cost estimates for the design-build portion of the work;
 - (3) materials specifications;

- (4) special material requirements;
 - (5) a schematic design approximately 30 percent complete;
 - (6) known utilities;
 - (7) quality assurance and quality control requirements;
 - (8) the location of relevant structures;
 - (9) notice of the Authority rules or goals related to awarding of contracts to disadvantaged businesses;
 - (10) available geotechnical or other detailed instructions for preparing the information related to the project;
 - (11) the status of the environmental review process;
 - (12) detailed instructions for preparing the technical proposal, including a description of the form and level of completeness of drawings expected;
 - (13) the relative weighting of the technical and cost proposals and the formula by which the proposals will be evaluated and ranked;
 - (14) the criteria and weighting for each element of the technical proposal;
 - (15) any risks or costs to be assumed by the design-build contractor and associated with scope changes and modifications, unknown or differing site conditions, environmental clearance and other regulatory permitting, and natural disasters and other force majeure events ;
 - (16) a general form of the design-build or design-build-finance agreement; and
 - (17) the deadline by which proposals must be received, which shall be no more than 180 days after the issuance of the final RFDP.
- (b) A RFDP under this section 10.6 shall require proposers to submit a sealed technical proposal and a separate sealed cost proposal. The cost proposal shall be weighted at least 70 percent in the formula for evaluating and ranking proposals. A technical proposal under this section 10.6 must address the following:
- (1) the proposer's qualifications and demonstrated technical competence (exclusive of information included in the proposer's response to the RFQ);
 - (2) the feasibility of developing the project as proposed, including identification of anticipated problems and proposed solutions, the ability of the proposer to meet deadlines, and the conceptual engineering design proposed.

- (c) A cost proposal under this section 10.6 must include:
 - (1) the cost of delivering the project;
 - (2) the estimated number of days required to complete the project; and
 - (3) any terms for financing for the project that the proposer plans to provide.
- (d) The Authority may withdraw a RFDP at any time prior to the submission deadline for detailed proposals. In such event the Authority shall have no liability to the entities chosen to submit detailed proposals.
- (e) In developing and preparing to issue a RFDP in accordance with section 10.6(a), the Authority may solicit input from entities qualified under section 10.5 or any other person.
- (e) If the Authority provides for the submission of ATCs and/or VACs, the Authority shall establish a process for submission and review of ATCs and/or VACs prior to submission of a technical proposal. Only those ATCs and/or VACs approved by the Authority may be included in an entity's technical proposal. The Authority shall notify a proposer whether its ATCs and/or VACs are approved for inclusion in the technical proposal.
- (f) The Authority may conduct meetings with or interview proposers submitting a response to an RFDP.

10.7 Evaluation and Ranking of Detailed Design-Build and Design-Build Finance Proposals. The Authority shall first open evaluate, and score each responsive technical proposal based on criteria set forth in the RFDP. The Authority shall subsequently open, evaluate, and score each cost proposal based on criteria set forth in the RFDP. The Authority shall then rank the proposers in accordance with the formula provided in the RFDP.

10.8 Unapproved Changes to Team. The Authority may reject as nonresponsive a proposal that makes a significant change to the composition of the proposer's design-build team as initially submitted that was not approved by the Authority.

10.9 Contract Negotiations.

- (a) After the Authority has evaluated and ranked the detailed proposals in accordance with section 10.7, the Authority shall first attempt to negotiate a contract with the highest-ranked proposer. If the Authority is unable to negotiate a satisfactory contract with the highest-ranked proposer, the Authority shall, formally and in writing, end negotiations with that proposer and proceed to negotiate with the next proposer in the order of the selection ranking until a contract is reached or negotiations with all ranked proposers end.
- (b) If the RFDP provides for payment of a stipend to unsuccessful proposers, the Authority may include in the negotiations ATCs and/or VACs approved for inclusion in RFDP responses of other proposers.
- (c) The Authority may establish a deadline for the completion of negotiations for a design-build or design-build-finance agreement. If an agreement has not been executed within that time, the Authority may terminate the negotiations, or, at its discretion, may extend the time for negotiating an agreement.
- (d) In the event an agreement is not negotiated within the time specified by the Authority, or if the parties otherwise agree to cease negotiations, the Authority may commence negotiations with the second-ranked proposer or it may terminate the process of pursuing a design-build or design-build-finance agreement for the project which is the subject of the procurement process.
- (e) Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Authority may terminate the procurement process at any time upon a determination that continuation of the process or development of a project through a design-build or design-build-finance agreement is not in the Authority's best interest. In such event, the Authority shall have no liability to any proposer beyond the payment provided for under section 10.9 if detailed proposals have been submitted to the Authority.

10.10 Payment For Submission of Detailed Design-Build or Design-Build-Finance Proposals.

- (a) Pursuant to the provisions of an RFDP, the Authority shall pay an unsuccessful proposer that submits a detailed proposal in response to a RFDP a stipend for work product contained in the proposal. The stipend must be specified in the RFDP and must be at least two-tenths of one percent of the contract amount, provided that the stipend shall not exceed the value of the work product contained in the proposal to the Authority.
- (b) After payment of the stipend, the Authority may make use of, any work product contained in the detailed proposal, including techniques, methods, processes, and information contained in the proposal. In addition, the work product contained in the proposal becomes the property of the Authority.

10.11 Confidentiality of Negotiations for Design-Build and Design-Build-Finance Agreements.

The Authority shall use its best efforts to protect the confidentiality of information generated

and/or submitted in connection with the process for entering into a design-build or design-build-finance agreement to the extent permitted by law. The Authority shall notify any proposer whose information submitted in connection with the process for entering into a design-build or design-build-finance agreement is the subject of a Public Information Act request received by the Authority.

10.12 Performance and Payment Security.

- (a) The Authority shall require a design-build contractor to provide a performance and payment bond, an alternative form of security, or a combination of a performance and payment bond and alternative security in an amount equal to the cost of constructing or maintaining the project. If, however, the Authority determines that it is impracticable for a private entity to provide security in such amount, the Authority shall set the amount of the bond or alternative form of security.
- (b) A payment or performance bond or alternative form of security is not required for that portion of a design-build or design-build-finance agreement that includes only design services only.
- (c) Alternative forms of security may be permitted or required in the following forms:
 - (1) a cashier's check drawn on a financial entity specified by the Authority;
 - (2) a U.S. Bond or Note;
 - (3) an irrevocable bank letter of credit drawn from a federal or Texas chartered bank; or
 - (4) any other form of security determined suitable by the Authority.

SECTION 11. PARTICIPATION IN STATE AND COOPERATIVE PURCHASING PROGRAMS; AND INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENTS.

11.1 Voluntary GSC Program. Pursuant to and in accordance with § 2155.204 of the Government Code and Subchapter D, Chapter 271 of the Local Government Code, the Authority may request the Texas Building and Procurement Commission ("TBPC") to allow the Authority to participate on a voluntary basis in the program established by TBPC by which the TBPC performs purchasing services for local governments.

11.2 Catalog Purchase of Automated Information Systems. Pursuant to and in accordance with § 2157.067 of the Government Code, the Authority may utilize the catalogue purchasing procedure established by the TBPC with respect to the purchase of automated information systems.

11.3 Cooperative Purchases. Pursuant to and in accordance with Subchapter F, Chapter 271 of the Local Government Code, the Authority may participate in one or more cooperative purchasing programs with local governments or local cooperative programs.

11.4 Interlocal Agreements with TxDOT or Other Governmental Entities. Subject to limitations imposed by general law, the Authority may enter into interlocal agreements with TxDOT or another governmental entity to procure goods and services.

11.5 Effect of Procurements Under Section 11. Purchases made through the TBPC, a cooperative program, or by interlocal agreement shall be deemed to have satisfied the procurement requirements of this Policy and shall be exempted from the procurement requirements contained in this Policy.

SECTION 12. EMERGENCY PROCUREMENTS.

12.1 Emergency Procurement Procedures. The Authority may employ alternate procedures for the expedited award of construction contracts and to procure goods and services to meet emergency conditions in which essential corrective or preventive action would be unreasonably hampered or delayed by compliance with the foregoing rules. Types of work which may qualify for emergency contracts include, but are not limited to, emergency repair or reconstruction of streets, roads, highways, building, facilities, bridges, toll collection systems and other Authority property; clearing debris or deposits from the roadway or in drainage courses within the right of way; removal of hazardous materials; restoration of stream channels outside the right of way in certain conditions; temporary traffic operations; and mowing to eliminate safety hazards.

- (a) Before a contract is awarded under this section, the Executive Director or his or her designee must certify in writing the fact and nature of the emergency giving rise to the award.
- (b) To be eligible to bid on an emergency construction and building projects, a contractor must be qualified to bid on TxDOT construction or maintenance contracts or be pre-qualified by the Authority to bid on Authority construction or building contracts.
- (c) A bidder need not be qualified or pre-qualified by the Authority to be eligible to bid on emergency non-construction or non-building projects.
- (d) After an emergency is certified, if there are three or more firms qualified to bid on the contract as reflected by the Authority's files, the Authority will send bid documents for the work to at least three qualified contractors. The Authority will notify recipients of the bid documents of the date and time by which the bids must be submitted and when the bids will be opened, read, and tabulated. The Authority will also notify the recipients of any expedited schedule and information required for the execution of the contract. Bids will be opened, read, and tabulated, and the contract will be awarded, in the manner provided in the other subsections of this Policy as required to procure construction contracts or general goods and services, as the case may be.

SECTION 13. DISPOSITION OF SALVAGE OR SURPLUS PERSONAL PROPERTY.

13.1 Sale by Bid or Auction. The Authority may periodically sell the Authority's salvage or surplus personal property by competitive bid or auction. Salvage or surplus personal property may be offered as individual items or in lots at the Authority's discretion.

13.2 Trade-In for New Property. Notwithstanding subsection 13.1, the Authority may offer salvage or surplus personal property as a trade-in for new property of the same general type if the Executive Director considers that action to be in the best interests of the Authority.

13.3 Heavy Equipment. If the salvage or surplus personal property is earth-moving, material-handling, road maintenance, or construction equipment, the Authority may exercise a repurchase option in a contract in disposing of such types of property. The repurchase price of equipment contained in a previously accepted purchase contract is considered a bid under subsection 13.1.

13.4 Sale to State, Counties, etc. Notwithstanding subsection 13.1 above, competitive bidding or an auction is not necessary if the purchaser is the State or a county, municipality, or other political subdivision of the State. The Authority may accept an offer made by the State or a county, municipality, or other political subdivision of the State before offering the salvage or surplus personal property for sale at auction or by competitive bidding.

13.5 Failure to Attract Bids. If the Authority undertakes to sell property under subsection 13.1. and is unable to do so because no bids are made for the property, the Executive Director may order such property to be destroyed or otherwise disposed of as worthless. Alternatively, the Executive Director may cause the Authority to dispose of such property by donating it to a civic, educational or charitable organization located in the State.

13.6 Terms of Sale. All salvage or surplus personal property sold or otherwise disposed of by the Authority shall be conveyed on an "AS IS, WHERE IS" basis. The location, frequency, payment terms, inspection rights, and all other terms of sale shall be determined by the Authority in its sole and absolute discretion.

13.7 Rejection of Offers. The Authority or its designated representative conducting a sale of salvage or surplus personal property may reject any offer to purchase such property if the Executive Director or the Authority's designated representative finds the rejection to be in the best interests of the Authority.

13.8 Public Notices of Sale. The Authority shall publish the address and telephone number from which prospective purchasers may request information concerning an upcoming sale in at least two issues of an officially designated newspaper of the Authority, or any other newspaper of general circulation in each county of the Authority, and the Authority may, but shall not be required to, provide additional notices of a sale by direct mail, telephone, or via the internet.

SECTION 14. SOLICITATION OF EMPLOYEE APPLICANTS

14.1 Solicitation of Employee Applicants. In conjunction with efforts to solicit applicants for available employment positions with the Authority, Authority staff shall follow the solicitation

and application guidelines set forth in this section 14 in order to (1) provide notice of the employment position opening, (2) provide a method of allowing potential applicants to receive detailed information regarding particular criteria and requirements for the individual employment position, and (3) provide information related to any application deadlines or extensions of deadlines.

14.2 Solicitation of Applicants for Professional or Managerial Positions. In order to reach the largest potential pool of qualified applicants for employment positions that are either professional or managerial in nature, Authority staff shall post information regarding potential employment opportunities, detailed position descriptions, and requirements for applications for professional or managerial staff positions in the following manner:

- (a) Notice of employment position openings with the Authority shall be published on the Authority's website, and shall include: (1) employment position title; (2) a general description of position duties and responsibilities; (3) educational and prior work experience requirements; (4) the statement that the Authority is an equal opportunity employer; (5) materials required to be submitted for position applications; (6) the physical mailing address and/or e-mail address for submitting application materials; and (7) the telephone number for questions regarding the employment position description and/or application process.
- (b) Notice of employment position openings with the Authority may be published in an officially designated newspaper of the Authority, the *Texas Register*, trade journals, and other sources that the Authority determines are appropriate for contacting potentially qualified applicants. In addition, the Authority may, but shall not be required to, solicit potential applicants by direct mail, telephone, or via the Internet.
- (c) The application deadline specified in the notice of employment position opening may be extended if the Executive Director determines that the extension is in the best interest of the Authority.

14.3 Solicitation of Administrative or Clerical Applicants. Authority staff shall post information regarding potential employment opportunities, detailed position descriptions, and requirements for application for administrative or clerical staff positions in the following manner:

- (a) Notice of employment position openings with the Authority shall be published on the Authority's website, and shall include: (1) employment position title; (2) a general description of position duties and responsibilities; (3) educational and prior work experience requirements; (4) the statement that the Authority is an equal opportunity employer; (5) materials required to be submitted for position applications; (6) the physical mailing address and/or e-mail address for submitting application materials; and (7) the telephone number for questions regarding the position description or application process. Authority staff may include any and all of the required information listed in (1)-(7) above in a standard employment application form issued by the Authority.
- (b) Notice of employment position openings with the Authority may be published in an officially designated newspaper of the Authority and in such other places that the Authority determines are appropriate for contacting potentially qualified

applicants. In addition, the Authority may, but shall not be required to, solicit potential applicants by direct mail, telephone, or via the Internet.

- (c) The application deadline specified in the notice of employment position opening may be extended if the Executive Director determines that the extension is in the best interest of the Authority.

SECTION 15. DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROCEDURES.

The Authority shall have the general ability and authority, when negotiating the terms and conditions of any contract to be entered into with any entity, to negotiate for the inclusion of dispute resolution procedures in such contract. Such dispute resolution procedures may vary from contract to contract, provided that, at a minimum, the procedures require that a meeting of principles, mediation, and/or formal alternative dispute resolution procedures be followed before any party may file suit against, or initiate an arbitration proceeding against, the Authority for an alleged breach of contract claim.

SECTION 16. IMPLEMENTATION OF 23 CFR 172 COMPLIANCE.

The Authority will pursue both Federal and State forms of government aid for the development of transportation projects and will procure such services as described in these policies and further described below. The Authority follows the requirements prescribed in 23 CFR 172 for the procurement, management, and administration of engineering and design related services for the use of Federal Government Aid on its projects. The Authority, for the ease of interpretation, has provided a response in italics of how the particular point listed in section 172(c) will be performed within its overall procurement procedures.

23 CFR 172(c) the contracting agency shall prepare and maintain written policies and procedures for the procurement, management, and administration of engineering and design related consultant services. The FHWA shall approve the written policies and procedures, including all revisions to such policies and procedures, of the STA (TxDOT) or recipient to assess compliance with applicable requirements. The STA (TxDOT) or other recipient shall approve the written policies and procedures, including all revisions to such policies and procedures, of a sub recipient to assess compliance with applicable requirements. These policies and procedures shall address, as appropriate for each method of procurement a contracting agency proposes to use, the following items to ensure compliance with Federal and State laws, regulations, and the requirements of this part:

- (1) Preparing a scope of work and evaluation factors for the ranking/selection of a consultant;

The internal management of the Authority develops the overall procurement document outlining the purpose, services desired, project scope, requirements, content, and overall scoring methodology. Management determines both the evaluation factors and scoring methodology in the context of the desired services to be procured, and provides the necessary information to proposers within the procurement document outlining the evaluation factors and selection process.

- (2) Soliciting interests, qualifications, or proposals from prospective consultants;

The Authority provides these internal policies outlining the procedures for soliciting interests, qualifications, or proposals. Furthermore the Authority provides within the specific procurement document outlining the requirements for said procurement. The Authority Procurement Policies are available online or upon request. The Authority will solicit interest in a manner to provide fair and reasonable opportunities for interested proposers to participate.

- (3) Preventing, identifying, and mitigating conflicts of interest for employees of both the contracting agency and consultants and promptly disclosing in writing any potential conflict to the STA and FHWA, as specified in 2 CFR 200.112 and 23 CFR 1.33, and the requirements of this part.

The Authority has prescribed within its Procurement Policies under section 2 Conflict Of Interest the understanding and requirements regarding Conflict of Interest disclosure to prevent, identify, and mitigate conflict of interests. In addition, the Authority requires within the specific procurement documents for any proposer including sub consultants to provide a response to the CCRMA Conflict of Interest disclosure forms in order to provide a project specific measure to mitigate and prevent conflicts of interest.

- (4) Verifying suspension and debarment actions and eligibility of consultants, as specified in 2 CFR part 1200 and 2 CFR part 180;

The Authority requires within the specific procurement documents for any proposer including sub consultants to provide a response certifying they are currently not suspended, debarred, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participating in covered transactions by any Federal department or agency, including TxDOT as the State agency. In addition to the certification the Authority will further confirm through the Federal Government system of award management (SAM), and the Texas Comptroller the representations made on certifications are accurate.

- (5) Evaluating interests, qualifications, or proposals and the ranking/selection of a consultant;

The internal management of the Authority develops the overall procurement document outlining the purpose, services desired, project scope, requirements, content, and overall scoring methodology. Management determines both the evaluation factors and scoring methodology in the context of the desired services to be procured, and provides the necessary information to proposers within the procurement document outlining the evaluation factors and selection process. The Authority will evaluate and score proposals and provide a ranking and recommendation to the Board of Directors for selection. The Authority will prepare individual estimates for services to be provided to be used internally during the negotiation phases once consultant has been selected.

- (6) Determining, based upon State procedures and the size and complexity of a project, the need for additional discussions following RFP submission and evaluation;

The internal management of the Authority determines based on the size and complexity of the project the required procurement procedures and process to implement, and further requires the approval of TxDOT prior to commencing any procurement.

- (7) Preparing an independent agency estimate for use in negotiation with the selected consultant;

The Authority prepares individual estimates for project assignments based off its experience, complexity and scope of work, current industry environment, and any geographical and economic constraints. Once the estimate is prepared, the Authority will use this as a basis for negotiations in accordance with 40 U.S Code § 1104(b).

- (8) Selecting appropriate contract type, payment method, and terms and incorporating required contract provisions, assurances, and certifications in accordance with § 172.9;

The internal management determines the appropriate contract type and all related contract terms, provisions, assurances, and certifications in the context of the desired services to be procured. The Authority provides a contract in draft form to be included within the specific procurement document to provide all proposers and participants with the contract terms to be applicable to the specific procurement. Terms and provisions required under § 172.9 are included within the contract.

- (9) Negotiating a contract with the selected consultant including instructions for proper disposal of concealed cost proposals of unsuccessful bidders;

The Authority prepares individual estimates for project assignments based off its experience, complexity and scope of work, current industry environment, and any geographical and economic constraints. Once the estimate is prepared, the Authority will use this as a basis for negotiations in accordance with 40 U.S Code § 1104(b). Instruction for the disposal of concealed cost proposals is provided within the specific procurement document.

- (10) Establishing elements of contract costs, accepting indirect cost rate(s) for application to contracts, and assuring consultant compliance with the Federal cost principles in accordance with § 172.11;

The Authority requires within the specific procurement document, certain eligibility requirements to be provided with responses. One of these requirements is for evidence of a FAR approved indirect or overhead rate. Proposers are required to provide the most recent audited rate and documentation for the Prime Proposer including any Sub Consultants to substantiate the rate as an attachment to the procurement document. Any proposal that does not provide this information is considered ineligible to participate in the solicitation. In addition the Authority and TxDOT approve all service tasks to be paid from Federal Government Aid prior to work commencing to ensure they comply with Federal Cost Principles. Within the contract terms, consultants are required to ensure costs in which Federal Government Aid is being used must comply with Federal Cost Principles in order to be eligible for payment.

- (11) Ensuring consultant costs billed are allowable in accordance with the Federal cost principles and consistent with the contract terms as well as the acceptability and progress of the consultant's work;

The Authority requires within the specific procurement document, certain eligibility requirements to be provided with responses. One of these requirements is for evidence of a FAR approved indirect or overhead rate. Proposers are required to provide the most recent audited rate and documentation for the Prime Proposer including any Sub Consultants to substantiate the rate as an attachment to the procurement document. Any proposal that does not provide this

information is considered ineligible to participate in the solicitation. In addition the Authority and TxDOT approve all service tasks to be paid from Federal Government Aid prior to work commencing to ensure they comply with Federal Cost Principles. Within the contract terms, consultants are required to ensure costs in which Federal Government Aid is being used must comply with Federal Cost Principles in order to be eligible for payment.

- (12) Monitoring the consultant's work and compliance with the terms, conditions, and specifications of the contract;

The Authority evaluates and monitors performance on a monthly basis as the services are being provided to ensure compliance with contract terms, conditions, and specifications. On a monthly basis consultants are required to provide a summary of work performed and invoice in which the Authority evaluates for compliance.

- (13) Preparing a consultant's performance evaluation when services are completed and using such performance data in future evaluation and ranking of consultant to provide similar services;

The Authority evaluates and monitors performance on a monthly basis as the services are being provided to ensure compliance with contract terms, conditions, and specifications. On a monthly basis consultants are required to provide a summary of work performed and invoice in which the Authority evaluates for compliance. In addition the Authority will perform an evaluation at the completion of the consultant services or work task as a final evaluation of project deliverable and task. Evaluation factors include quality of project deliverable, timeliness of services provided, compliance with Federal Cost Principles, project management, and cost management.

- (14) Closing-out a contract;

The Authority defines within the contract for services to be performed the manner in which the contract is eligible to be closed out. Prior to the closing of a contract the Authority will ensure all invoices have been paid for services performed, all deliverables have been provided at the level desired, and ensure the process is documented and in writing for required record keeping.

- (15) Retaining supporting programmatic and contract records, as specified in 2 CFR 200.333 and the requirements of this part;

The Authority ensures consultants agree to the appropriate record keeping requirements as outlined within 2 CFR 200.333 and the requirements of 23 CFR within the contract document. The Authority, as a public entity, further practices the record keeping requirement for all project documentation including routine business operations.

- (16) Determining the extent to which the consultant, which is responsible for the professional quality, technical accuracy, and coordination of services, may be reasonably liable for costs resulting from errors and omissions in the work furnished under its contract;

The Authority retains legal counsel for the preparation of all contract documentation and professional opinion on the extent for which a consultant may be liable for costs resulting from errors and omissions in the work furnished under its contract and defines such process within the contract documents.

(17) Assessing administrative, contractual, or legal remedies in instances where consultants violate or breach contract terms and conditions, and providing for such sanctions and penalties as may be appropriate; and

The Authority retains legal counsel for the preparation of all contract documentation and professional opinion on the extent for which a consultant may be liable for violation or breach of contract terms and conditions, and providing for such; sanctions and penalties as may be appropriate.

(18) Resolving disputes in the procurement, management, and administration of engineering and design related consultant services.

The Authority defines within the contract for every consultant, the process and terms for resolving disputes.

SECTION 17. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE STATE OF TEXAS PROCUREMENT AND CONTRACT MANAGEMENT GUIDE.

To the extent applicable, the Authority will incorporate required or recommended contract clauses into the Authority's solicitations and contracts pursuant to the State of Texas Procurement and Contract Management Guide issued by the Comptroller.